WO 1 **JKM** 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 8 9 Rami Fuad Tawasha, No. CV 11-1101-PHX-GMS (ECV) 10 Petitioner, **ORDER** 11 VS. 12 Janet Napolitano, et al., 13 Respondents. 14 15 16 Petitioner Rami Fuad Tawasha (A043-705-269), who is represented by counsel, has 17 filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The Court will 18 require Respondents to answer the Petition. 19 Petitioner is a native of Kuwait. He has been a lawful permanent resident of the 20 United States since 1993. On May 20, 2009, an Immigration Judge found that Petitioner was 21 removable as an alien who has been convicted of an aggravated felony and ordered that he 22 be removed to Jordan or in the alternative Kuwait. On September 29, 2009, the Board of 23 Immigration Appeals dismissed his appeal. On October 9, 2009, he filed a petition for 24 review and a motion for stay of removal with the United States Court of Appeals for the 25 Ninth Circuit. Tawasha v. Holder, No. 09-73222 (9th Cir.). On March 24, 2010, the Ninth 26 Circuit continued Petitioner's temporary stay of removal until it issues its mandate. <u>Id.</u> 27 Petitioner argues that his continued detention while his appeal is pending is

unconstitutional and inconsistent with the Immigration and Nationality Act. See Casas-

28

1	Castrillon v. Dep't of Homeland Sec., 535 F.3d 942, 952 (9th Cir. 2008) (an alien who is
2	subject to discretionary detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) is entitled to a hearing before an
3	Immigration Judge "with the power to grant him bail unless the government establishes that
4	he is a flight risk or will be a danger to the community"). An answer to the Petition will be
5	required. Because summonses appear to have been served and because counsel for
6	Respondents has entered an appearance, the Court will not direct the Clerk to effect service
7	of process.
8	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Respondents must answer the Petition within
9	20 days of the date this Order is filed. Respondents must not file a dispositive motion in
10	place of an answer without first showing cause as to why an answer is inadequate. Petitioner
11	may file a reply within 20 days from the date of service of the answer.
12	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this matter is referred to Magistrate Judge Edward
13	C. Voss pursuant to Rules 72.1 and 72.2 of the Local Rules of Civil Procedure for further
14	proceedings and a report and recommendation.
15	DATED this 22nd day of August, 2011.
16	# 74 S
17	H. Muray Suow G. Murray Snow
18	United States District Judge
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26

27

28